

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/728,827	VASQUEZ, RAMON EFRAIN	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Helen F. Pratt	1794	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowance

(1) Helen F. Pratt.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert W.Hahl, PHD..

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 May 2008
**Time:** 9:30
**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

--

Claims discussed:

--

Prior art documents discussed:

--

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 1 contains the language 'consisting of' on line 1, which is not consistent with adding more ingredients as in claims 12 and 13, 14. Attorney agreed to have "essentially of" inserted after "consisting" on line 1. Also, no antecedent basis is seen for the term "flavoring agents" in claim 13, line 1.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Assistant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

